arXiv:1512.09185v1 [math.GR] 31 Dec 2015

WEAK WIDTH OF SUBGROUPS

RITA GITIK

Abstract. We say that the weak width of an infinite subgroup H of G in G is n if there exists a collection of n strongly essentially distinct conjugates $\{H, g_1^{-1}Hg_1, \cdots, g_{n-1}^{-1}Hg_{n-1}\}$ of H in G such that the intersection $H \cap g_i^{-1}Hg_i$ is infinite for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and n is maximal possible. We prove that a quasiconvex subgroup of a negatively curved group has finite weak width in the ambient group. We also give examples demonstrating that height, width, and weak width are different invariants of a subgroup.

1. Introduction

A subgroup H of G is malnormal in G if for any $g \in G$ such that $g \notin H$ the intersection $H \cap g^{-1}Hg$ is trivial. Most subgroups are neither normal nor malnormal, so the study of the intersection pattern of conjugates of a subgroup is an interesting problem. It is closely connected to the study of the behavior of different lifts of subspaces of topological spaces in covering spaces.

Malnormality of a subgroup has been generalized in different ways. One of them, namely the height, introduced in [3], has been used by Agol in [1] and [2] in his proof of Thurston's conjecture that 3-manifolds are virtual bundles. In this paper we introduce yet another generalization of malnormality. It is a new invariant of the conjugacy class of a subgroup H of G, which we call the weak width of a subgroup. Like malnormality, the weak width measures only the cardinality of the intersections of H with its conjugates in G. In section 4 we prove that quasiconvex subgroups of negatively curved groups have finite weak width, which might simplify Agol's proof. In section 2 we review the definitions and the basic properties of the width and the height of a subgroup. In section 3 we give examples showing that height, width, and weak width are different invariants of a subgroup.

Remark 1. *Note that if $g_i \in Hg_jH$, hence $g_i = h_1g_jh_2$ with h_1 and h_2 in H, then $H \cap g_i^{-1}Hg_i = H \cap (h_1g_jh_2)^{-1}H(h_1g_jh_2) = H \cap (h_2^{-1}g_j^{-1}Hg_jh_2) = h_2^{-1}(H \cap g_0^{-1}Hg_0)h_2$. So the cardinality of the set $H \cap g_i^{-1}Hg_i$ is equal to the cardinality of the set $H \cap g_j^{-1}Hg_j$.*

Remark 1 motivates the following definitions.

Definition 1. *Let H be a subgroup of a group G. We say that the elements $\{g_i | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ of G are strongly H-essentially distinct if $Hg_iH \neq Hg_jH$ for $i \neq j$. Conjugates $g_i^{-1}Hg_i$ of H by strongly H-essentially distinct elements are called strongly essentially distinct conjugates.*

Date: January 1, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 20E45; Secondary: 20F67.

Definition 2. *We say that the weak width of an infinite subgroup H of G in G, denoted $WeakWidth(H,G)$, is n if there exists a collection of n strongly essentially distinct conjugates $\{H, g_1^{-1}Hg_1, \cdots, g_{n-1}^{-1}Hg_{n-1}\}$ of H in G such that the intersection $H \cap g_i^{-1}Hg_i$ is infinite for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and n is maximal possible. We define the weak width of a finite subgroup of G to be 0.*

Note that if $WeakWidth(H,G) = n$, then in any set of $n+1$ strongly essentially distinct conjugates $\{H, g_1^{-1}Hg_1, \cdots, g_n^{-1}Hg_n\}$ of H in G there exists an element $g_i^{-1}Hg_i$ which has finite intersection with H.

2. Height and width.

The following definitions were introduced in [3] and [4].

Definition 3. *Let H be a subgroup of a group G. We say that the elements $\{g_i | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ of G are H-essentially distinct if $Hg_i \neq Hg_j$ for $i \neq j$. Conjugates $g_i^{-1}Hg_i$ of H by H-essentially distinct elements are called essentially distinct conjugates.*

If g_i and g_j are not H-essentially distinct, then $g_i^{-1}Hg_i = g_j^{-1}Hg_j$, hence it is interesting to investigate the intersections of the conjugates of H only if they are H-essentially distinct. However, essentially distinct conjugates need not be distinct. For example, let $G =< a_1, a_2 | a_1a_2 = a_2a_1 >$ be a free abelian group of rank 2 and let $H =< a_1 >$ be a subgroup of G. The conjugates $a_2^{-1}Ha_2$ and H are essentially distinct, but $a_2^{-1}Ha_2 = H$.

Definition 4. *We say that the height of an infinite subgroup H of G in G, denoted by $Height(H,G)$, is n if there exists a collection of n essentially distinct conjugates of H in G such that the intersection of all the elements of the collection is infinite and n is maximal possible. We define the height of a finite subgroup of G to be 0.*

Note that if $Height(H,G) = n$ then the intersection of any set of $n+1$ essentially distinct conjugates of H in G is finite. It was shown in [3] that subgroups of negatively curved groups have finite height in the ambient group.

Definition 5. *We say that the width of an infinite subgroup H of G in G, denoted by $Width(H,G)$, is n if there exists a collection of n essentially distinct conjugates of H in G such that the intersection of any two elements of the collection is infinite and n is maximal possible. We define the width of a finite subgroup of G to be 0.*

Note that if $Width(H,G) = n$ then in any set of $n+1$ essentially distinct conjugates of H in G there exist two elements with finite intersection. It was shown in [4] and, later, in [5] that quasiconvex subgroups of negatively curved groups have finite width in the ambient group.
It follows from the above definitions that $Width(H,G)$ and $Height(H,G)$ are invariants of the conjugacy class of H in G.

Note also that $Height(H,G) \leq Width(H,G)$, however, it is not clear if there is any relationship between $WeakWidth(H,G)$ and $Width(H,G)$.

Infinite normal subgroups of infinite index have infinite height, width, and weak width in the ambient group. More generally, if an infinite subgroup has infinite index in its normalizer, then the subgroup has infinite height, width, and weak width in the ambient group.

If G is torsion-free and H is infinite, then H is malnormal in G if and only if $Height(H,G) = Width(H,G) = WeakWidth(H,G) = 1$.

3. Examples

The following examples demonstrate that $WeakWidth(H,G)$, $Width(H,G)$, and $Height(H,G)$ are distinct invariants of the conjugacy class of H in G.

Let X be a set and let $X^* = \{x, x^{-1} | x \in X\}$, where for $x \in X$ we define $(x^{-1})^{-1} = x$. Denote the equality of two words in X^* by " $\equiv$ ".

Example 1. *Let F be a free group of rank 4 generated by the elements x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, let $G =< F, t | t^4 = 1, t^{-1}x_i t = x_{(i+1)mod4} | 1 \leq i \leq 4 >$, and let $H_1 =< x_1, x_2 >$. We claim that $WeakWidth(H_1, G) = 3$, but $Height(H_1, G) = Width(H_1, G) = 2$.*

In order to prove the claim we will list all essentially distinct and all strongly essentially distinct conjugates of H_1 in G which have non-trivial intersection with H_1.

Let $H_i =< x_i, x_{(i+1)mod4} | 1 \leq i \leq 4 >= t^{(-i+1)} H_1 t^{(i-1)}$ be conjugates of H_1 in G. As $t^i \notin F$ for $i \not\equiv 0 \pmod 4$, the conjugates $\{H_i | 1 \leq i \leq 4\}$ are strongly essentially distinct. Note that $H_2 \cap H_1 =< x_2 >, H_4 \cap H_1 =< x_1 >, H_3 \cap H_1 =< 1 >$, and $H_2 \cap H_4 =< 1 >$. Hence $WeakWidth(H_1, G) \geq 3$, $Height(H_1, G) \geq 2$, and $Width(H_1, G) \geq 2$.

In order to determine how other conjugates of H_1 intersect, we consider $g \in G$ such that the intersection $g^{-1}H_1 g \cap H_1$ is non-trivial. As we are interested only in essentially distinct conjugates of H_1, we can assume that g is a shortest element in the coset $H_1 g$.

As t normalizes F, we have $g = wt^k, 0 \leq k \leq 3$, with w a reduced word in F. If w is trivial, then $g^{-1}H_1 g = t^{-k}H_1 t^k = H_{1+k}$, and the intersection pattern of the subgroups $\{H_i | 1 \leq i \leq 4\}$ is described above.

If w is non-trivial, let $v \in H_1$ be a non-trivial reduced word such that $g^{-1}vg = (t^{-k}w^{-1})v(wt^k) \in H_1$. Then $w^{-1}vw \in t^k H_1 t^{-k} = t^{-(4-k)}H_1 t^{4-k} = H_{(1-k)mod4}$. As w and v are reduced words in a free group F, there exist decompositions $w \equiv w_1 w_2$ and $v \equiv w_1 v_0 w_1^{-1}$ (where $\equiv$ denotes equality of words) with

$w^{-1}vw = (w_2^{-1}w_1^{-1})(w_1 v_0 w_1^{-1})(w_1 w_2) = w_2^{-1}v_0 w_2$, where $w_2^{-1}v_0 w_2$ is a reduced word in $H_{(1-k)mod4}$. Then $v_0 \in H_{(1-k)mod4}$ and $w_2 \in H_{(1-k)mod4}$. As $v \in H_1$, it follows that $w_1 \in H_1$ and $v_0 \in H_1$. However, as $g = wt^k = w_1 w_2 t^k$ is shortest in the coset $H_1 g$, w_1 should be trivial. Hence $w = w_2 \in H_{(1-k)mod4}$. As a non-trivial word v_0 belongs to $H_1 \cap H_{(1-k)mod4}$, it follows that $(1-k) \pmod 4$ is equal to either $1, 2$ or 4. Hence if $(1-k) \pmod 4 \equiv 3$, so $k = 2$, then for any $r \in F$ the intersection $(rt^2)^{-1}H_1(rt^2) \cap H_1$ is trivial.

If $(1-k) \pmod 4 \equiv 1$ then $w = w_2 \in H_1$, contradicting again the fact that g is shortest in the coset $H_1 g$. Hence either $(1-k) \pmod 4 \equiv 2$ and $k = 3$, or $(1-k) \pmod 4 \equiv 4$ and $k = 1$.

If $k = 3$, then $g = wt^3$ with $w \in H_2$. Note that the essentially distinct elements of the infinite collection of the conjugates $\{(wt^3)^{-1}H_1(wt^3) | w \in H_2\}$ intersect each other trivially. Indeed, consider $w_0 \in H_2$ and $w \in H_2$ such that the intersection $(t^{-3}w^{-1})H_1(wt^3) \cap (t^{-3}{w_0}^{-1})H_1(w_0 t^3)$ is non-trivial. Then the intersection $H_1 \cap (w_0 t^3)(t^{-3}w^{-1})H_1(wt^3)(t^{-3}{w_0}^{-1})$ is non-trivial. As H_1 is malnormal in F, it follows that $w_0 w^{-1} = (w_0 t^3)(t^{-3}w^{-1}) \in H_1$, so the conjugates $(t^{-3}w^{-1})H_1(wt^3)$ and $(t^{-3}{w_0}^{-1})H_1(w_0 t^3)$ are not essentially distinct. Therefore the family of the conjugates $\{(wt^3)^{-1}H_1(wt^3) | w \in H_2\}$ does not contribute to $Width(H_1, G)$.

Similarly, if $k = 1$, hence $g = ut$ with $u \in H_4$, the essentially distinct elements of the infinite collections of the conjugates $\{(ut)^{-1}H_1(ut)|u \in H_4\}$ intersect each other trivially.

Also for $w \in H_2$ and $u \in H_4$ the intersection $(t^{-3}w^{-1})H_1(wt^3) \cap (t^{-1}u^{-1})H_1(ut)$ is trivial. Indeed, the cardinality of that intersection is equal to the cardinality of the intersection $(ut)(t^{-3}w^{-1})H_1(wt^3)(t^{-1}u^{-1}) \cap H_1$. However, $(wt^3)(t^{-1}u^{-1}) = wt^2u^{-1} = (w(t^2u^{-1}t^{-2})t^2 = rt^2$ with $r \in F$, and we have mentioned above that for all $r \in F$ the intersection $(rt^2)^{-1}H_1(rt^2) \cap H_1$ is trivial. So the infinite family of conjugates $\{(ut)^{-1}H_1(ut)|u \in H_4\}$ does not contribute to $Width(H_1, G)$, therefore $Height(H_1, G) = Width(H_1, G) = 2$.

Note that for any $w \in H_2$, $wt^3 = t^3(t^{-3}wt^3) \in t^3H_1 \subseteq H_1t^3H_1$, hence all the elements $\{(wt^3)|w \in H_2\}$ are strongly H_1-equivalent to t^3, so the conjugates of H_1 by those elements do not contribute to the weak width of H_1. Similarly, all the elements $\{(ut)^{-1}|u \in H_4\}$ are strongly H_1-equivalent to t, so the conjugates of H_1 by those elements do not contribute to the weak width of H_1 either. Therefore, $WeakWidth(H, G) = 3$.

□

Example 2. *Let G be as in Example 1, and let $L_1 =< x_1, x_2, x_3 >$. We claim that $WeakWidth(L_1, G) = Width(L_1, G) = 4$, but $Height(L_1, G) = 3$.*

Let $L_i =< x_i, x_{(i+1)mod4}, x_{(i+2)mod4}|1 \leq i \leq 4 >= t^{(-i+1)}L_1t^{(i-1)}$ be conjugates of L_1 in G. As $t^i \notin F$ for $i \not\equiv 0 \pmod 4$, the conjugates $\{L_i|1 \leq i \leq 4\}$ are strongly essentially distinct. By observation, the elements of the set $\{L_i|1 \leq i \leq 4\}$ have infinite pairwise intersections, hence $WeakWidth(L_1, G) \geq 4$ and $Width(L_1, G) \geq 4$. Also the intersection $\bigcap_{i=1}^{3} L_i$ is infinite, so $Height(L_1, G) \geq 3$. Note also that the intersection $\bigcap_{i=1}^{4} L_i$ is trivial.

Using the same argument as in Example 1 we can show that there are only three families of L_1-essentially distinct elements in G such that the conjugates of L_1 by these elements intersect L_1 non-trivially. They are $\{(wt^3)|w \in L_2\}, \{ut|u \in L_4\}$, and $\{st^2|s \in L_3\}$. Just as in Example 1, the malnormality of L_1 in F implies that the essentially distinct conjugates in each family intersect each other trivially, hence $Width(L_1, G) = 4$. Also as in Example 1 these elements are strongly L_1-essentially equivalent to t^3, t, and t^2, respectively, so $WeakWidth(L_1, G) = 4$.

Suppose $Height(H, G) \geq 4$. Then there are 3 essentially distinct conjugates M_2, M_3, and M_4 of L_1 such that the intersection $L_1 \cap (\bigcap_{i=2}^{4} M_i)$ is infinite. The preceding paragraph implies that the M_i's must come one from each of the families of conjugates of L_1 described above, i.e. M_2, M_3 and M_4 are conjugates of L_1 by wt^3, ut, and st^2 respectively, with $w \in L_2, u \in L_4$, and $s \in L_3$. Let h_1, h_2, h_3, and h_4 in L_1 be such that $h_4 = t^{-3}w^{-1}h_1wt^3 = t^{-2}s^{-1}h_2st^2 = t^{-1}u^{-1}h_3ut$. Note that $t^{-3}wt^3 \in L_1, t^{-3}h_1t^3 \in L_4, t^{-2}st^2 \in L_1, t^{-2}h_2t^2 \in L_3, t^{-1}ut \in L_1$, and $t^{-1}h_3t \in L_2$. Then $t^{-3}w^{-1}h_1wt^3 = r_1^{-1}q_1r_1$ with $r_1 \in L_1$ and $q_1 \in L_4$, $t^{-2}s^{-1}h_2st^2 = r_2^{-1}q_2r_2$ with $r_2 \in L_1$ and $q_2 \in L_3$, and $t^{-1}u^{-1}h_3ut = r_3^{-1}q_3r_3$ with $r_3 \in L_1$ and $q_3 \in L_2$. As $r_1^{-1}q_1r_1 = r_2^{-1}q_2r_2 = r_3^{-1}q_3r_3$, it follows that $q_2 = l_1^{-1}q_1l_1 = l_2^{-1}q_3l_2$ with l_1 and l_2 in L_1. We can assume that all the words l_1, l_2, q_1, q_2, and q_3 are reduced. Then, as in Example 1, there exist decompositions $l_1 \equiv p_1p_2$ and

$q_1 \equiv p_1 q_1' p_1^{-1}$ such that $q_2 = (p_1p_2)^{-1}(p_1q_1'p_1^{-1})(p_1p_2) = p_2^{-1}q_1'p_2$, and $p_2^{-1}q_1'p_2$ is a reduced word in F.

As $r_1^{-1}q_1r_1 = r_2^{-1}q_2r_2 = r_3^{-1}q_3r_3 = h_4 \in L_1$, it follows that $q_1 \in L_1 \cap L_4 =< x_1, x_2 >, q_2 \in L_1 \cap L_3 =< x_1, x_3 >$, and $q_3 \in L_1 \cap L_2 =< x_2, x_3 >$. As $q_1 \in< x_1, x_2 >$ and $q_2 \in< x_1, x_3 >$, it follows that $q_1' = x_1^n$ for $n \in \boldsymbol{N}$.

Simirlarly, there exist decompositions $l_2 \equiv c_1c_2$ and $q_3 \equiv c_1q_3'c_1^{-1}$ such that $q_2 = (c_1c_2)^{-1}(c_1q_3'c_1^{-1})(c_1c_2) = c_2^{-1}q_3'c_2$, and $c_2^{-1}q_3'c_2$ is a reduced word in F. As $q_3 \in< x_2, x_3 >$ and $q_2 \in< x_1, x_3 >$, it follows that $q_3' = x_3^m$ for $m \in \boldsymbol{N}$. Then a conjugate of $q_1' = x_1^n$ is equal to a conjugate of $q_3' = x_3^m$ in a free group F. This can happen only if q_1' and q_3' are trivial, hence q_2 is trivial. Therefore, the intersection of L_1 with all three families of conjugates is trivial, so $Height(L_1, G) = 3$.

□

4. Quasiconvex subgroups of negatively curved groups have finite weak width

We will use the following notation.

Let G be a group generated by the set X^*. As usual, we identify the word in X^* with the corresponding element in G. Let $Cayley(G)$ be the Cayley graph of G with respect to the generating set X^*. The set of vertices of $Cayley(G)$ is G, the set of edges of $Cayley(G)$ is $G \times X^*$, and the edge (g, x) joins the vertex g to gx.

Definition 6. *The label of the path $p = (g, x_1)(gx_1, x_2)\cdots(gx_1x_2\cdots x_{n-1}, x_n)$ in $Cayley(G)$ is the word $Lab(p) \equiv x_1 \cdots x_n$. The length of the path p, denoted by $|p|$, is the number of edges forming it. The inverse of a path p is denoted by $\bar{p}$,*

Remark 2. *Let H be a K-quasiconvex subgroup of G, let η be a geodesic in $Cayley(G)$ with $Lab(\eta) \in H$, and let $\eta'\eta''$ be any decomposition of η. There exists a path c with $|c| \leq K$ which begins at the terminal vertex of η' such that $Lab(\eta' c) \in H$ and $Lab(\bar{c}\eta'') \in H$. Indeed, if η begins (and , hence, ends) at an element of H such c exists by the definition of K-quasiconvexity. In the general case, we can find such c using translation in $Cayley(G)$.*

The following result was essentially proven in [4]. We include a streamlined version of the proof.

Theorem 1. *If H is a quasiconvex subgroup of a negatively curved group G, then $WeakWidth(H, G)$ is finite.*

Proof. As G is finitely generated, there exists a finite number N of elements in G of length not greater than $2K+2\delta$, hence there exist at most N strongly H-essentially distinct elements $\{g_i \in G\}$ such that the shortest representative of the double coset Hg_iH is not longer than $2K + 2\delta$. Then Lemma 1 implies that the only strongly H-essentially distinct conjugates of H which might have infinite intersection with H are the conjugates of H by the elements in the set $\{g_i | 1 \leq i \leq N\}$. Therefore $WeakWidth(H, G) \leq N$. □

Lemma 1. *Let H be a K-quasiconvex subgroup of a δ-negatively curved group G and g be an element in G. If every element of the double coset HgH is longer than $2K + 2\delta$, then the intersection $H \cap g^{-1}Hg$ is finite.*

Proof. Remark 1 implies that it is sufficient to prove Lemma 1 for a shortest representative g_0 of the double coset HgH.

We will show that all the elements in the intersection $H \cap g_0^{-1} H g_0$ are shorter than $2K + 8\delta + 2$, so that the intersection is finite, as required.

Consider $h \in H \cap g_0^{-1} H g_0$. Let $h_0 \in H$ be such that $h = g_0^{-1} h_0 g_0$. Let p_1, p_{h_0}, p_2 and p_h be geodesics in $Cayley(G)$ such that $p_1 p_{h_0} p_2 \bar{p}_h$ is a closed path, p_1 (hence also p_h) begins at 1, $Lab(p_1) = g_0^{-1}, Lab(p_{h_0}) = h_0, Lab(p_2) = g_0$, and $Lab(p_h) = h = g_0^{-1} h_0 g_0$.

Let v be a middle vertex of p_h and let q be the initial subpath of p_h ending at v. As $Lab(p_h) = h \in H$, Remark 2 implies that there exists a path s with $|s| \leq K$ which begins at v such that $Lab(qs) \in H$. Let t be a shortest path which begins at v and ends at some vertex w of p_{h_0} and let q' be the initial subpath of p_{h_0} terminating at w. As $Lab(p_{h_0}) = h_0 \in H$, Remark 2 implies that there exists a path s' with $|s'| \leq K$ which begins at w such that $Lab(q's') \in H$. Then $Lab(\bar{p}_1) = g_0 = Lab(q's')Lab(\bar{s}'\bar{t}s)Lab(\bar{s}\bar{q})$. As $Lab(q's') \in H$ and $Lab(\bar{s}\bar{q}) = Lab^{-1}(qs) \in H$, it follows that $Lab(s'\bar{t}s) \in H g_0 H$.

As $HgH = Hg_0H$, the assumption of Lemma 1 that any element of the double coset HgH is longer than $2K + 2\delta$ implies that $|s'\bar{t}s| > 2K + 2\delta$. But then $|t| > 2K + 2\delta - |s| - |s'| > 2\delta$, hence the distance from v to p_{h_0} is greater than 2δ.

As G is δ-negatively curved, a side p_h of the geodesic 4-gon $p_1 p_{h_0} p_2 \bar{p}_h$ belongs to the 2δ-neighborhood of the union of the other three sides, so the above discussion implies that v belongs to the 2δ-neighborhood of $p_1 \cup p_2$. Assume that there exists a path y of length less than 2δ which begins at a vertex u of p_1 and ends at v. Consider the decomposition $p_1 = p_1' p_1''$, where p_1' ends at u. As $g_0 = Lab(\bar{p}_1) = Lab(\bar{p}_1'' y s)Lab(\bar{s}\bar{q})$ and $Lab(\bar{s}\bar{q}) \in H$, it follows that $Lab(\bar{p}_1'' y s) \in g_0 H$. As g_0 is a shortest representative of $g_0 H$, it follows that $|g_0| = |p_1'| + |p_1''| \leq |\bar{p}_1'' y s| = |s| + |y| + |p_1''|$. Hence $|p_1'| \leq |s| + |y| \leq K + 2\delta$, so $|q| \leq |p_1'| + |y| \leq K + 4\delta$. However, as v is a middle point of p_h, $|h| = |p_h| \leq 2|q| + 1 < 2K + 8\delta + 2$.

Similarly, if v belongs to the 2δ-neighborhood of p_2, it follows that $|h| < 2K + 8\delta + 2$, proving Lemma 1. □

5. Question

Is their a simple relation between the width and the weak width?

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank Shmuel Weinberger for his support.

References

[1] I. Agol, *The virtual Haken conjecture. With an appendix by Agol, Daniel Groves, and Jason Manning*, Doc. Math. **18** (2013), 1045–1087.

[2] I. Agol, D. Groves, and J. Manning, *Residual finiteness, QCERF and fillings of hyperbolic groups*, Geom. Topol. **13** (2009), 1043–1073.

[3] R. Gitik and E. Rips, *Heights of Subgroups*, MSRI preprint **027-95**.

[4] R. Gitik, M. Mitra, E. Rips, and M. Sageev, *Widths of Subgroups*, Trans. AMS **350** (1998), 321–329.

[5] G.C. Hruska and D.T. Wise *Packing subgroups in relatively hyperbolic groups*, Geom. Topol. **13** (2009), 1945–1988.

E-mail address: ritagtk@umich.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, 48109